

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/081,333		02/22/2002	Lorri Riley	RILO.95943	9812	
27910	7590	06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
		ON HECKER LLE	HOWARD, SHARON LEE			
ATTN: PATENT GROUP 1201 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 2800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		64106-2150		1615		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/081,333	RILEY, LORRI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sharon L. Howard	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	VIO OST TO EVEIDS AND	ONTUKO) ED OM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	May 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		. , , ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Ap	plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been i	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) \(\bigcirc \text{Notice of Int} \\ 6) \(\bigcirc \text{Other:} \\ \bigcirc \\	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/081,333 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

The examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendment and response of 5/24/04.

Claim 1 has been cancelled. Claims 2-9 are currently amended and claims 2-18

are now pending in this application.

Claim Objections

Claims 2-4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claims, or amend the claims to place the claims in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claims in independent form.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5-9,12,14,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,696,946).

Green teaches a method for topically treating diseases of the skin in a human (see abstract). Green teaches calcium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide (col.8, lines 65-68), ointments as well as lotions (col.8, line 18) which defines an applicating agent, antimicrobial agents (col.8, lines 45-48) and antibiotics. Green also teaches hydrogenated lanolin which can be defined as an emollient, including beeswax, woolfat, etc (see col.8, lines 29).

Application/Control Number: 10/081,333 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

The prior art meets the claims of the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green ('946).

Green is applied above.

Green does not particularly teach a topical nail formulation.

However, future-intended use is not critical in the composition. Green teaches the same composition having the same properties, i.e. a composition which comprises calcium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide, antimicrobial agents and ointments, including lotions and an antibiotic.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Green, because Green teaches a topical composition comprising calcium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide, antimicrobial agents, and ointments, which is known for the purpose for treating diseases of the skin, and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to determine the thickness of the nail through routine experimentation.

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,333

thanor Howard

Art Unit: 1615

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Howard whose telephone number is (703) 308-4359. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Sharon Howard

June 9, 2004

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600